**Resolution and Discussion points**

Opposition to arming PSU Campus Public Safety officers;

Support for the creation of a campus committee for oversight and supervision of the PSU CPSO as a necessary condition for implementation of changes in campus policing policies, including alternatives to an armed police force. The campus committee must be comprised of administrators, faculty & students.

* Data does not reveal a rising rate of violent crimes at Portland State. (Clery report)
* Confrontations between campus security employees and the public is a concern, but does not justify major policy change. (Task Force Report, 2013)
* Legal/Ethical conflicts of interest exist with in-house supervision of police investigations (Chronicle of Higher Education, 2014)
* Costs of extending policing functions raise concerns about a need for increased collaboration between PSU and Portland Police (SGRN Faculty statement)
* No tally of total cost of sworn officer investigations, and officer time for court appearances.
* These responsibilities and costs are currently a function of the Portland Police.
* Cost for a sworn and armed police force will add 1.5 million/year to current budget (PSU Admin)
* Most sexual assaults are perpetrated by acquaintances in private spaces (White House Council, 2014)
* Inefficiencies and delays in investigations of sexual assaults require mediation with PPB, not introducing weapons (SGRN faculty statement)
* Mandatory arrest and investigation of sexual assaults may place PSU employees in adversarial position with students (Chronicle of Higher Education, 2014)

Armed police increases risk of injury to students of color and other vulnerable groups (SSW Faculty Statement)

Given the disproportionate rates of arrest and deaths in communities of color, now is not the time to escalate armed policing on the PSU campus.